

Attachment to Independent Case Review Report
for CDRU # 250 Case File # 95-270847.

Material Examiner Malone (RO)

Remarks:

CRM - 12438

INDEPENDENT CASE REVIEW REPORT

Independent Review conducted by: STEVE ROBERTSON

Area(s) of Expertise: HAIR AND FIBERS

Review commenced at: 4:00P (Time), 5/19/99 (Date)

File #: 95-270847

Laboratory #(s): 60115021 60306014

60124052

60513049

Examiner(s) & Symbols

	Reviewed	Not Reviewed	Reviewed	Not Reviewed
<u>RQ</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<u>TK</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Materials Reviewed

Trial testimony transcript(s) of: _____

Testimony Date(s): _____ Pages: _____

Laboratory Report(s):

Laboratory Number: 60115021 60124052 Date: 3-3-86

Laboratory Number: 60306014 Date: 3-26-86

Laboratory Number: 60513049 Date: 5-29-86

Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ UNIDENTIFIED TECHNICIAN

Laboratory Number: 60115021 60124052

Laboratory Number: 60306014

Laboratory Number: 60513049

Page 1 of 4

Initials: SR

Was any other material reviewed? Yes No

If yes, please identify and/or describe the material: REPORT OF INVESTIGATION FROM TENNESSEE
DATED 11-27-85 AND FROM TEXAS DATED 2-28-86

Results of Review

File #: 95-270847 Item or Specimen # Reviewed: Q1-Q7, Q25-Q27,
K1, K2, K6-K8

Review of Laboratory Report(s) and Bench Notes:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

- 1) Did the examiner perform the appropriate tests in a scientifically acceptable manner, based on the methods, protocols, and analytic techniques available at the time of the original examination(s)?
 Yes No Unable to Determine
- 2) Are the examination results set forth in the laboratory report(s) supported and adequately documented in the bench notes?
 Yes No Unable to Determine

Review of Testimony:

Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses

Transcript not available.

- 3) Testimony consistent with the laboratory report(s)? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 4) Testimony consistent with the bench notes? Yes No Unable to Determine
- 5) Testimony within bounds of examiner's expertise? Yes No Unable to Determine

Page 2 of 4

Initials JWA

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable.
Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

#1. THERE IS INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION TO DETERMINE IF THE HAIR AND FIBER COMPARISONS WERE PERFORMED IN A SCIENTIFICALLY ACCEPTABLE MANNER.

#2. THE RESULTS ARE NOT ADEQUATELY DOCUMENTED IN THE NOTES. NONE OF THE NOTES ARE DATED. THE NUMBER OF HAIR AVAILABLE/ EXAMINED IN THE K1, K2, K6, K7, K8 SAMPLES IS NOT DOCUMENTED. THE TECHNICIAN NOTES MAKE NO MENTION OF ANY HAIR OR FIBERS PRESENT OR RECOVERED FROM ITEMS Q1-Q7 AND Q25-Q27 WHILE THE EXAMINER NOTES APPARENTLY DESCRIBE HAIR RECOVERED FROM THESE ITEMS. THERE IS NO DOCUMENTATION OF THE TESTS PERFORMED TO IDENTIFY THE Q7 AND K9 FIBERS AS NYLON OR HOW THEY

Review completed at: 5:15P (Time), 5/19/99 (Date)

Total time spent conducting review (to nearest 1/4 hour): 1 1/4 HOURS

I hereby certify that I conducted this review in an independent, unbiased manner and that the results of my review are fully documented on this report consisting of a total of 4 pages.

Steve Roberts 5/19-99
(Signature) (Date)

Additional Comments
(Set forth by question #, if applicable)

File #: 95-270847

DIFFER. THE EXAMINER USES ABBREVIATIONS THAT ~~ARE~~^{SUA} THIS REVIEWER CANNOT INTERPRET.